1	MELINDA HAAG (CASBN 132612) United States Attorney  MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division  ROBERT K. PRUITT (KYBN 93232) Special Assistant United States Attorney  Defense Language Institute – Criminal Law 1336 Plummer Street, Building 275		
2 3			
4			
5			
6			
7 8	Monterey, CA 93944 Telephone: (831) 242-6394 Email: robert.k.pruitt.mil@mail.mil		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SALINAS DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Criminal No.: CR-12-00166 HRL	
14	Plaintiff,	) THIRD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME	
15	VS.	)	
16	MARIA D. ORTEGA SANCHEZ,	) )	
17 18	Defendant.	) _)	
19	0. E.1. 4. 2012. 1		
20	On February 4, 2013, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a status hearing.		
	The parties jointly requested a trial date be set for May 13, 2013 at 9:30 AM. In addition, the		
21	parties are requesting an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, from October 1, 2012 to		
22	May 13, 2013. The parties agree and stipulate that an exclusion of time is appropriate based on		
23	the defendant's need for effective preparation of counsel.		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
	THIRD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CASE NO: CR-12-00166 HRL		

1	SO STIPULATED:	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
2		Cinica States Attorney
3	DATED: February 6, 2013	/S/ ROBERT K. PRUITT
5		Special Assistant United States Attorney
6		
7	DATED: February 6, 2013	/S/ MANUEL ARAUJO Counsel for the Defendant
8		Counsel for the Defendant
9		
10		ORDER
11	Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that time be excluded	
12	under the Speedy Trial Act from October 1, 2012 to May 13, 2013 at 9:30 AM. The Court finds,	
13	based on the aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice are served by granting the requested	
14	continuance and outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The	
15	failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the parties reasonable time necessary for	
16	effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a	
17	miscarriage of justice. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made	
18	under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).	
19	SO ORDERED.	
		70500
20	DATED: 2/12/2013	PAUL S. GREWAL
21		United States Magistrate Judge
22		
23		

THIRD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CASE NO:  ${\rm CR-}12\text{-}00166\,HRL$